

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8
9 RANDALL HIX, LIANA HIX,)
10 Plaintiffs,)
11 vs.)
12 ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*)
13 Defendants.)
14 _____)

3:18-cv-00437-RCJ-WGC

ORDER

15 The parties move for leave to file overlength briefs. Plaintiffs' move to file a 40-page
16 opposition briefs to Defendants' motion for summary judgment. (ECF Nos. 281, 286.)¹ Defendants
17 also seek leave to file a 25-page reply briefs in support of their Motions for Summary Judgment.
18 Typically, such briefs are limited to 30 pages and 20 pages respectively. LR 7-3(a). A court may
19 however extend this limit for good cause. *Id.* The Court finds such good cause here. The case
20 involves numerous complex legal theories and exhibits that cannot be thoroughly analyzed within
21 the typical page limits. As such, the Court grants these motions.

22 ///

23
24 ¹ Plaintiffs filed this motion twice: ECF Nos. 281 and 286. The Court will consider the latter
amended motion and deny the former as moot.

CONCLUSION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Motion for Leave to File Excess Pages (ECF No. 281) is DENIED AS MOOT.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Amended Motion for Leave to File Excess Pages (ECF No. 286) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Motion for Leave to File Excess Pages (ECF No. 296) is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated August 4, 2021.

ROBERT C. JONES
United States District Judge